

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 01/30/2002 31311.104 10/060,876 6936 Robert McGowan Mann **EXAMINER** 7590 11/13/2003 Paul F. Wille BOSWELL, CHRISTOPHER J 6407 East Clinton Street ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Scottsdale, AZ 85254 3676

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

***	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/060,876	MANN, ROBERT MCGOWAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher Boswell	3676
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific		
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8-9, and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 4,497,137 to Nelson.

Nelson discloses a seal between a frame and a moveable member, the seal comprising an elongate support member (12) having opposed upper and lower faces and opposed first and second sides, and a sealing lip (14) extending along and being joined to the support member, the sealing lip having an anchor portion (20) and a sealing portion (14), the sealing portion being spaced from the support member by the anchor portion and having an edge which defines a terminal sealing edge (18) of the sealing lip, as in claim 1.

Nelson also discloses the sealing lip and support member are formed from an elastomer, plastic material (column 2, lines 21-25), as in claims 2 and 4.

Nelson further discloses the anchor portion being hingedly connected to the first side (16), as in claim 3.

Nelson additionally discloses the support member being formed from a plastics material or elastomer and the sealing lip is formed from an elastomer, the support member and sealing lip are extruded as an integrally connected piece (column 2, lines 21-25), as in claim 5.

Art Unit: 3676

Nelson also discloses the sealing lip extruded to define a hinge (16) spaced from the first side to enable the sealing lip to move relative to the support member between the inoperative and operative positions (figures 1 and 3), as in claim 8.

Nelson additionally discloses the anchor portion having an inner face and an outer face, the inner face being in a face to face contact with the first side when the sealing lip is in an operative position (figure 1), the outer face being provided with face engagement means which are engageable with a side of a groove (figure 3) formed in the frame or movable member to retain the seal with in the groove, as in claim 9.

Nelson further discloses a structure which is substantially similar to that as claimed in the present application, wherein a seal is formed between a frame and a movable member mounted on a frame (figure 3), with a groove (32) in the frame or movable member and locating a seal, within the groove with the lower face of the support member adjacent to the bottom of the groove (figure 3) so as to trap the anchor portion of each sealing lip between a side of the groove and the opposed side of the support member, as in claim 13, so as it would be inherent to use the seal of Nelson under similar working order to establish the claimed method. Under the principles of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the same process. *In re King*, 802 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Art Unit: 3676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-7, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson, as applied above, in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,279,087 to Mann.

Nelson discloses the invention substantially as claimed in claims 6 and 7. However,
Nelson does not disclose the sealing lip co-extruded with a planar strip-like body. Mann teaches
the location of the seal can be anywhere within the frame of a door, including the upper width
where the sealing element (26) would be on the lower face of the support member (22), having
the sealing lip with the support member wherein the support member being a planar strip-like
body (figure 3) in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of providing a seal between the
upper part of the door and the top section of the frame. It would have been obvious to one with
ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to invert the seal described above in
order to achieve a seal between the top of a door and the frame.

Nelson discloses the invention substantially as claimed in claims 11 and 12. However, Nelson does not disclose the support member being used as a fire seal or the support member comprising an intumescent material. Mann teaches the use of a seal encompassing an intumescent material (24) in the analogous art of seals between a frame and a moveable member for the purpose of providing a fire and smoke seal (column 1, lines 7-11). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to manufacture

Art Unit: 3676

the support member of Nelson to be a hollow member filed with an intumescent material in order to act as a fire and smoke seal between a frame and a moveable member.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson.

Nelson discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Nelson does not disclose a second sealing lip. It is common knowledge in the prior art to duplicate a sealing lip in a strip type seal in the analogous art of sealing devices for sealing between a frame and a moveable member mounted therein for the purpose of having two effective sealing lips on opposed surfaces of a support member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate a another sealing lip on an opposed surface of the support surface in order to achieve a better sealing ability by having larger sealing area between a frame and a moveable member mounted on the frame. Duplicating the components of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,876 Page 6

Art Unit: 3676

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to hinged seals, U-shaped seals, and seals with intumescent materials:

U.S. Patent Number 5,248,542 to Hinzpeter et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,247,764 to Jeshurun et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,194,309 to Knudsen, U.S. Patent Number 5,007,202 to Guillon, U.S. Patent Number 4,931,339 to Malcolm-Brown, U.S. Patent Number 4,648,207 to Shibasaki, U.S. Patent Number 4,497,137 to Nelson, U.S. Patent Number 4,354,304 to Dixon, U.S. Patent Number 4,246,304 to Dixon, U.S. Patent Number 4,045,930 to Dixon.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Boswell whose telephone number is (703) 305-4067. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (703) 308-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Anthony Knight

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600